

Scaling Up Your Thermal Processes Successfully

Webinar 2 in Harper's Series on Maximizing Production Economics October 23rd, 2012 11:00am Eastern Time

Welcome!

Scaling Up Your Thermal Processes Successfully

Meet your Presenters:

 Tom Burkholder, Applications Engineering Mgr B.S. Chemical Engineering, State University of NY at Buffalo
Leads engineering team in support of customer's new process development



 Brian Fuller, Sales Engineer
B.S. Chemical Engineering, University of Colorado at Boulder
Responsible for projects in Powdered Metals, Technical Ceramics and Energy Materials



About This Webinar Series

Maximizing the Production Economics of Your Thermal Processing System

- Your inside access to Harper's deep technical expertise
- Recordings of the events will be available on demand afterwards
- Other Events in this Series
 - Designing for Energy Efficiency in Thermal Processing (July 2012 get it online now!)
 - Thermal Processing Research: Designing Flexibility & Performance (2013)
 - Maintenance Optimization: Planning Downtime Efficiently (2013)



Introduction to Harper

- Headquartered outside of Buffalo, NY
- Decades of thermal processing experience
- Dedicated Technology Centers for customer process development & testing
- Multi-disciplined engineering talent
 - Chemical
 - Ceramic
 - Mechanical
 - Electrical
 - Industrial

arper

- Process & Integration







Introduction to Harper

We work with developers & producers of advanced materials to provide innovative technologies:

- 200°C 3000°C
- Batch to continuous processing
- Precise atmospheric controls
- High purity requirements
- High temperature Gas-Solid reactions
- Process Refinement
- Scale Up
- Optimization





Introduction to Harper

Focus on Processing System Solutions for...

Advanced Materials:

- Fibers & Filaments
- Metal Oxides & Powders
- Technical Ceramics
- Energy Materials
- Nano Materials
- Rare Earths
- Graphene

arper





- Sintering
- Drying
- Calcination
- Reduction
- Oxidation
- Carbonization
- Carburization



- Solid-solid reaction
- Gas-solid reaction
- Purification
- Metalizing
- Debinding
- Parts processing
- Phase transformation

Today's Agenda

- So You're Thinking of Scaling Up...
 - Planning for the ideal way to scale up
 - Managing risk a step wise approach
- Thermal Processing Design Considerations in Scale Up
 - Material Flowability
 - Temperature Profile & Retention Times
 - Bed Depth / Percent Fill
 - Off-gassing
 - Co-current vs. Counter Current Flow
 - Cross Contamination
- Planning for the Unknowns & Stumbling Blocks
- Question & Answer Time





Planning for the Ideal Way to Scale Up

- Think through your bench top configuration
 - Today's Operation: strengths and weaknesses
 - Gram-scale production will not be a window into larger scale
 - Not limited by mass or heat transfer
 - Load and furnace configuration / geometry is irrelevant
 - All you have learned from your bench configuration is temperature requirement
 - You have likely performed thermal processes all in one step





Planning for the Ideal Way to Scale Up

- Complete your Process Flow Diagram of Units Ops
 - Why?
 - Focuses efforts on key steps in the process
 - Defines material flow in and out of unit operations to assess future technical problems
 - A complex thermal process never stands alone
 - Upstream and downstream unit operations affect the optimum furnace design
 - Items to consider cooling, gas separation, contact construction materials



Planning for the Ideal Way to Scale Up



Harper

Managing Risk in Scale Up – a Step-wise Approach

Timeline to

larper

Production Scale

Operations for Hundreds Thousands Grams Emerging Kilograms Kilograms Kilograms Materials Production Plant Project Executions Fine Turning LessOptimum Execution Development/ **Bench Scale** Investigations **Optimized Production** Optimum Execution 12 24 0 36 48 60 72 84 96 108 120 Time Line (Months)

Managing Risk – a Step-wise Approach

Why go through all these steps:

- Helps better define the process
- Will uncover unknowns and things that may have been overlooked
- Mitigates or minimizes risk

Downside:

• Development using this methodology will take time and increase development costs!





Furnace 101

Reactor Type	Typical Material Profile	Material Handling Transport	Volumetric Efficiency
RotaryTube	ldeal for Powder and Bulk Materials	Via Rotating Tube and Angle of Inclination	Low (10% - 20% Filled)
Pusher / Roller Hearths	Used for Powders, Bulk Materials and Net Shapes	Via Transport in Saggers	Moderate
Mesh / Strip Belt	Used for Powders, Bulk Materials and Net Shapes	Via Mechanical Belt	Moderate
Vertical Tube / Slot	Ideal for Powders	Via Gravity or Set by Rotary Valve or Auger	High
Horizontal Slot	Ideal for Fibers, Filaments and Webs	Material Generally Not in Contact with Furnace	Low

Harper







Thermal Processing Design Considerations in Scale Up

- Material Flowability
- Temperature Profile & Retention Times
- Bed Depth / Percent Fill
- Off-gassing
- Co-current vs. Counter Current Flow
- Cross Contamination



Thinking about Material Flowability as you scale up

- Ideal system will heat just the process material minimize kiln furniture
 - Static powder in trays vs. free flowing powder





Thinking about Material Flowability as you scale up

 Look out for sticking / caking issues, and design enhancements that can be added to ensure flowability





Thinking about Material Flowability as you scale up

- Knowing your material's properties: function of temperature
- Once you understand flowability, you should be able to remove some Furnace types from consideration in your scale up!!!





Thinking about Temp Profile & Retention Times as you scale up

- How was time-temperature profile developed in lab scale?
 - Strong function of mass being treated and load configuration



<u>Furnace</u>: 12 in.³ - 6 in.³ @ 0.4 kg/L = 10 kg

Load: 4 in. x 4 in. x 1in. @ 1.0 kg/L = 260 g

Overwhelming furnace mass... 40X!

Thinking about Temp Profile & Retention Times as you scale up

- How was time-temperature profile developed in lab scale?
 - Strong function of mass being treated and load configuration
 - Thin bed depths
 - → heat transfer
 - Fixed Production
 - Insulating material
 - Ramp rate f(D)
 - 0.5 hour soak



Thinking about Temp Profile & Retention Times as you scale up

- Over-heated vs. under-heated
 - In smaller configurations, you might not see effect of non-uniform heating
 - Small window for soak time?
- Optimal process temperatures and limit of various materials of construction
 - As temp profile changes with steps upwards, materials of construction will almost certainly be different



Thinking about Temp Profile & Retention Times as you scale up

- Crazy temperature profile conservative or unrealistic.
 - Heat transfer limited?
 - Mass transfer limited?
- Profile is never a step function
- Cooling Required?



Thinking about Bed Depth / Percent Fill as you scale up

- Percent fill can be a deceiving design aspect, One has to align % fill (dimensionless) with actual bed depth to fully embrace heat penetration
- Heat penetration through bed depth in lab / pilot processing (likely a static tray, batch)
- Unless the bed is being enveloped (mixed) frequently, bed depth can become a factor in the design



Thinking about Off-gassing as you scale up

- Why?
 - Removal of condensable gases Pyrolysis
 - Driving the gas-solid reaction Reduction
 - Preventing re-adsorption Calcination





Driving the Gas-Solid Reaction



T (°C), DewPoint (°C) vs. Distance (m)

arper



Thinking about Off-gassing as you scale up

- Why?
 - Removal of condensable gases Pyrolysis
 - Driving the gas-solid reaction Reduction
 - Preventing re-adsorption Calcination



- Removing these byproducts will become more difficult as you scale up
- What about preheating process gas?



Thinking about Co-current vs. Counter Current Process Gas Flow

- Counter Current
 - Most thermally efficient
 - Acts like a heat exchanger
 - Moves condensables away from product
- Co-Current
 - Fine material that can be entrained
 - Reaction gas? Concentration of gases along furnace length
 - Want volatiles to stay IN or ON product surface



Thinking about Material Compatibility as you scale up

- Cross Contamination with the Equipment Materials of Construction:
 - Most important to materials where low impurity levels are desired:
 - Battery Materials
 - Rare Earths
 - High Purity Ceramics
 - Corrosive off-gasses are evolved



- Has a strong effect on the general furnace type and final scale of the furnace due to size limitations of many non-alloy components. Examples:
 - Rotary/Vertical tube size
 - Ceramic muffle & trays take a long time to heat up, can't shock or it will break



Planning for the Unknowns & Stumbling Blocks

- Merging unit operations is it really worth it?
 - Make sure you adequately flow chart your unit operations
- Your process might be best served with multiple unit operations
 - What you might be doing in single-step Batch process may not work as a single step Continuous process
 - Example: A furnace should function as both a dryer and a heat treatment step in the same unit operation. While investment may be more, may serve the process better as 2 operations.
- Adaptation to the upstream and downstream equipment can be a challenge
 - Consider material delivery and removal methodologies for getting it into a specific unit operation
- Consider atmospheric control when integrating upstream and downstream processes.



Your scale up partner...

Whether in refinement, scale up or optimization, we solve challenges that no one else can.

Helping customers turn the next generation of material innovations into profitable new markets.



Thank you for your interest!

Visit us at harperintl.com



